

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,446	03/28/2001	Jochen Kappel	51207-1070	2724	
22827	7590 11/22/2005		EXAMINER		
DORITY & MANNING, P.A. POST OFFICE BOX 1449			JASMIN, L	JASMIN, LYNDA C	
GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3627		
		·	DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			9/819,446	KAPPEL ET AL.	KAPPEL ET AL.			
			xaminer	Art Unit				
		Ly	nda Jasmin	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum source to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, cau-	OF THIS COMMUN. In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) MOse the application to become a	IICATION. A reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 Augu	st 2005.					
2a)□	•		tion is non-final.					
3)	,—							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)[🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.						
•,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	<u>_</u>							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any obje	-		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exam	iner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign prid	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents ha	ave been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents ha	ave been received in	Application No				
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies	of the priority	documents have bee	n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of t	he certified copies no	t received.				
Attachmen	, ,		🗖 .					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/819,446 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Response received August 19, 2005 has been acknowledged. Claims 1-20 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanagan et al. (2001/0056362 A1), in view of Swartz et al. (2003/0074463).

Hanagan discloses a customer care and billing system having at least one database (via database server 29), a plurality of clients and at least one application server with accompanying clients (see box [298]) are communicating with the at least one database (29), and an appropriate framework (which provide support layer to the developer, and a base upon which business functionality can be developed), wherein relevant services corresponding to desired customers care and billing processes are offer (via all types of electronic transmission, such as wireless see boxes [0022]-[0044]). The system further includes distributed component architecture with components (12, 14, 16, 18 and 22) attributed in correspondence to the relevant services offered (box [0054]). Hanagan further discloses that the system in divided into at least two layer (as illustrated in figure 23), and at least two hierarchically arranged tiers corresponding to

Application/Control Number: 09/819,446

Art Unit: 3627

technical tasks (as illustrated in Figure 24) wherein the combined elements of all tiers fulfill the tasks from the storage to the presentation of data over a network such as the Internet. Hanagan further discloses a lowest base layer (392) containing fundamental system behavior and a common layer (box [0296]). The system also a technical services layer (via technical application structure), and an application layer (via software layers 394). The system further includes a business layer containing the domain-specific classes for each component (via business application layers).

Although Hanagan discloses that the components can be integrated together into a system where the components work together, however, Hanagan fails to explicitly disclose that the components communicate with each other directly via interfaces.

Swartz et al. discloses the concept of interfacing core telecommunication system with local service provider. Swartz discloses the use of Local Service Activation Management System "LSAM" hereafter, which is a local service provisioning capability that enables a national and/or international service provider (NSP) to quickly enter into local markets while minimizing the implementation costs and risks. The LSAM system delivers an integrated technical architecture, which shares common integrated technical architecture with shared services and features across the application. The LSAM provides proactive status monitoring, and monitors transactions against transaction level service agreements. Further, as illustrated in Figure 14, the LSAM system interface provides the point of integration between LSAM and all NSP core systems.

From this teaching of Swartz it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the billing and customer care

Art Unit: 3627

system of Hanagan to include the direct interface or interaction as taught by Swartz in order to deliver an integrated technical architecture which shares common integrated technical architecture with shared services.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bowman-Amuah, and Auzenne et al. are cited as art of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (571) 272-6782. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (9:30-6:00) with Thursday Telework.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/819,446 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 3627